UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

EDADTMENT OF COMMEDCE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,628	03/01/2004	Benjamin G. Davis	GC571-2-C1	3111
Cananaa Inta			EXAMINER MEAH, MOHAMMAD Y	
925 Page Mill				
Palo Alto, CA	94034-1013		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1652	
			MAH DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			MAIL DATE	
			11/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

PTOL-90A (Rev. 04/07)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/791,628	DAVIS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mohammad Meah	1652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence ac	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Ju	ıne 2007.					
	action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
• • •	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,8-10,19-40,44-47 and 56-73</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5, 8-10, 19-40, 44-47, 56-73</u> are su	bject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	it received.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of 6) Other: _	Informal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/791,628

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-5, 8-40, 44-145 are pending in the instant office action. Claims 11-18, 48-55 and 74-145 remain withdrawn. Claims 1-5, 8-10, 19-47, 56-73 was examined based on elected group I of election/restriction office action of 11/29/05. Claims 1-5, 8-10, 19-40, 44-47, 56-73 are for examination.

However the prior election/restriction office action of 11/29/05 was not done properly. Claims 1-5, 8-10, 19-40, 44-47, 56-73 still comprise multiple inventions and require further restriction.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- Group I. Claims 1-5, 8-10, 19-36, drawn to catalytic antagonist of a target molecule comprising fusion proteins comprising subtilisin-type serine hydrolase conjugated to the target molecule, classified in class 435, subclass 222.
- Group II. Claims 37-40, 44-47, 56-73, drawn to methods of degrading a target molecule using catalytic antagonist comprising enzyme conjugate molecule of group I, classified in class 435, subclass 222.

Inventions in group I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP)

Art Unit: 1652

§ 806.05(h)). In the instant case group II methods of degrading a target molecule can involve different products (such as different chemical compounds) than that of group I catalytic antagonist comprising an enzyme conjugate.

For each of inventions in groups I-II above, the following restriction is also required under 35 USC 121. Therefore election is required of one of the inventions I-II and one of the inventions defined bellow:

Inventions in claims 1-5, 8-10, 19-40, 44-47, 56-73 comprise a catalytic antagonist of a target molecule comprising fusion proteins comprising subtilisin-type serine hydrolase conjugated to the target molecule or methods of degrading target molecule using said catalytic antagonist. The target molecule is selected from any of a wide variety of species comprising: protein, chemical compounds (such as vitamin, crown ether, etc, etc), antigen, lectin, nucleic acid, lipid, polypeptide, carbohydrate, dendrimer, etc. Each catalytic antagonist of a target molecule comprising fusion proteins comprising subtilisin-type serine hydrolase conjugated to the target molecule encompassed by the instant claims is a patentably distinct enzyme conjugate having a different structure than the other enzyme conjugates encompassed by the instant claims because each specific targeting molecule encompassed by the instant claims is a patentably distinct compound having a different structure and function. In view of the enormous number of independent inventions encompassed the examiner has not attempted to exhaustively list each independent invention herein. Applicants are required to identify a specific enzyme conjugate comprising fusion subtilisin-type serine hydrolase conjugated to the specific target molecule. Applicants are further required to identify which claims encompass the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,628

Art Unit: 1652

.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier.

Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product

Application/Control Number: 10/791,628 Page 5

Art Unit: 1652

claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad Meah whose telephone number is 571-272-1261. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapu Achutamurthy can be reached on 571-272-0928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mohammad Younus Meah, PhD

Examiner, Art Unit 1652

Recombinant Enzymes, 3C31 Remsen Bld 400 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314

Telephone: 517-272-1261